Application No.: 09/309,747

Office Action Dated: February 21, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 37-39 and 41-44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being

PATENT

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,846,727 to Glover et al. ("Glover"). Also, in the alternative,

claims 37-39 and 41-44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious

over Glover in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (Figures 4-6 and p.9). Applicant has

amended independent claim 37 to overcome the present rejections.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner enter the amendments as offered.

Specifically, applicant submits that the present amendments should be entered as per

M.P.E.P. § 713.14, as the amendments provide a basis to avoid the rejections set forth in the

current official action placing the case in condition for allowance, or in the alternative a better

condition for appeal. Also, the amended claims do not raise the issue of new matter, nor do

the amendments present new issues requiring further consideration or search.

The present invention is directed to a connector press block. Unlike a connector

itself, a press block facilitates installation of a connector. For example, a press block may be

used to install a connector's press-fit contact terminals into corresponding holes of a printed

circuit board (PCB). As stated in the present Background of the Invention section, designing

a press block requires consideration of the insertion forces that the press block must

withstand (Specification - page 2, lines 1-9). These forces are shifted to the press block so

that the connector may avoid being damaged by such forces during installation of the

connector.

Claim 37 has been amended to further draw out the distinction between Glover's

connector and the present invention's press block. In particular, claim 37 has been amended

to recite a press block having openings that terminate within the housing. By terminating

Page 4 of 7

Application No.: 09/309,747

Office Action Dated: February 21, 2003

within the housing, the press block is able to distribute a force to the terminals during

PATENT

insertion of a connector into a substrate, for example, and to removably engage the terminals

after insertion of the connector. These features also have been included in amended claim 37.

Glover is not directed to a press block that facilitates the installation of a connector.

Glover is directed to the connector itself. Glover does not teach or suggest that its openings

52 terminate within the housing. This is to be expected, because quite simply, the openings

52 described in Figure 2 of Glover, and further detailed with reference to Figures 4-6 of

Glover are the openings of an electrical connector itself, and not of a press block used to

install such a connector. The openings 52 in Glover are designed to simply receive a

connection terminal. It further follows, therefore, that Glover does not teach or suggest that

its openings 52 can either distribute a force to the terminals during insertion of a connector

into the substrate or removably engage the terminals so as to distribute a force.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claims 37-

39 and 41-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Glover. Also, for the same reasons discussed

above with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), applicant respectfully requests

withdrawal of the rejection of claims 37-39 and 41-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Glover

in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (Figures 4-6 and p.9).

Applicant previously added Figure 12 to the specification (Response to Office Action

dated December 20, 2002). However, the examiner did not enter new Figure 12 because "it

was not disclosed in the specification." (Office Action dated February 21, 2003 at p. 2).

Although applicant does not rely on Figure 12 for the purposes of the present response,

applicant respectfully requests that the figure be accepted and added to the present

specification. Figure 12 simply is another view (i.e., an isometric view) of the press block

Page 5 of 7

Application No.: 09/309,747

Office Action Dated: February 21, 2003

PATENT

depicted in Figures 7, 8, 9, 10a and 10b. In fact, the piece numbers depicted in Figure 12

(piece numbers 40, 41, 42, 43 and 44) have previously been listed in Figures 7, 8, 9, 10a, and

10b and are discussed in the present specification with reference to those figures. Therefore,

Figure 12 is not new matter but simply provides further clarification and explanation of the

invention as previously described throughout the specification. Accordingly, applicant

respectfully requests that Figure 12 be accepted and added to the present specification.

Application No.: 09/309,747

Office Action Dated: February 21, 2003

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully submit that the present application is

in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application and an early Notice of

Allowance are respectfully requested. In the event that the Examiner cannot allow the

present application for any reason, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned

attorney, Vincent J. Roccia at (215) 564-8946, to discuss resolution of any remaining issues.

Date: July 21, 2003

Vincent J. Roccia

Registration No. 43,887

PATENT

Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor

Philadelphia PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-3100 Facsimile: (215) 568-3439